

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,179	04/16/2004	Mi Jung Yang	5895P056	9770	
8791 BLAKELY SO	7590 07/23/200 OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			MAHMOUDZADEH, NIMA		
SUNNYVALI	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/826,179	YANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2619	

	NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appet for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CI periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad		n the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the site for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that provide any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties of</li></ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b         <ul> <li>(a)              \( \text{They raise new issues that would require further con} \)</li> <li>(b)              \( \text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below} \)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a or		cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonon-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∑</li> </ol>		•	
7. \( \) For purposes or appear, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xpianation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7 and 10</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.8 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (f. 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amends claims 1 which changes the scope of the claims. For example, in claim 1, lines 9-16, specifically of, "by the corresponding ingress edge node comparing an amount of remaining bandwidth allocated to a specific path Pr with an amount of bandwidth required for a connection setup requesting callcall requesting new connection setup input to the corresponding ingress edge node, and calculating an amount of additional bandwidth to be requested from the bandwidth berewhen the corresponding ingress edge node determines that the amount of the remaining bandwidth does not satisfy the amount of the bandwidth required for the connection setup requesting call; and c) the corresponding ingress edge node enquesting "of hanges the scoal; and c) the corresponding ingress edge node requesting "of hanges the scoal; and c) the corresponding ingress edge node requesting "of hanges the scoal for the claim since the amended limitations did not exist in the previous rejected claim 1. Thus, the change in scope to the claim and additional arguments provided after the prosecution on the merit is closed would require further consideration and/or search.